



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 08.05.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-86/E-358908/2025 Appeal/4th Meeting, 2025
APPLNRC202514959/E-86959

S.S. B.Ed. College, 175, 177, 180, Manpur Kalan, Madanpur, Khair, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh-202135	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Rajesh Babu, Lecturer
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	15.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	08.05.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF ORDER

The appeal of **S.S. B.Ed. College, 175, 177, 180, Manpur Kalan, Madanpur, Khair, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh-202135** dated 04.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993. against the order No. **F.No.NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-3947/B.Ed./433rd Meeting (Volume-3)SI.No.24/UP/2024/226529-536** dated 29.03.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that “(i). The recognition was granted to the institution namely S. S. B.Ed. College Plot/Khasra No. 175,177,180, Street no. - Bajana, Villag - Madanpur Kalan, Post office - Madanpur, Tehsil/Taluka - Khair, Town/City - Khair, District - Aligarh- 202135, Uttar Pradesh for B.Ed. course of one year duration for with annual intake of 100 students from the academic session 2014-2015 vide order No. F.No.NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-3947/225th Meeting/2013/ 74342-348 date 05.03.2014. (ii). The revised recognition order for B.Ed. course of two-year duration with an annual intake of 100 seats was issued to the institution vide order dated 28.04.2015. (iii). As per the decision of NRC in its 314th meeting, First Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 09/11/2020. (iv). The institution has not submitted the reply of First Show Cause Notice. (v). The matter was placed before NRC in its 417th Meeting held on 04th January 2024, and the Committee decided to issue Final Show Cause Notice. Accordingly, Final Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 11.05.2024 on the grounds mentioned therein. The Committee considered the matter and noted the following: - The institution has not submitted the reply of Final Show Cause dated 11.05.2024.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Rajesh Babu, Lecturer of S.S. B.Ed. College, 175, 177, 180, Manpur Kalan, Madanpur, Khair, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh-202135 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 15.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “The institution has received letter from NCTE on 18 .06.2024 and within a week we have sent reply to NCTE 27.06.2024 with in a stipulated period.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held online on 15th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for conducting B.Ed. course of one-year duration with an annual intake of 100 students from the academic session 2014-2015 vide order dated 05.03.2014. A revised provisional recognition order was issued to the institution for conducting B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students i.e. two basic units of 50 students each vide order dated 28.04.2015. The recognition of the institution for B.Ed. course was withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 29.03.2025.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 4th meeting held on 15.04.2025 and during the online hearing the Appeal Committee took note of the explanation and submissions of the institution and accordingly the Appeal Committee observed the appellant institution had received the Final Show Cause Notice dated 11.05.2024 issued by NRC on 18.06.2024. It submitted the evidence that on receipt of the said SCN, it had sent the reply to NRC through Speed Post on 27.06.2024 bearing Number RU288325882IN. The Appellant Institution in addition to the explanation mentioned in appeal report submitted the documents with a claim to have rectified the shortcomings points (i) copy of Renewal Certificate of the society dated 30.11.2012 (ii) A copy of Building Completion Certificate (iii) A copy of FDRs along with bank detail. (iv) A copy of "Khatoni" (Record of Land Ownership Records) (v) A copy of Building and Site Plan etc. with a claim to have rectified the shortcomings pointed out in the impugned order dated 29.03.2025.

The Appeal Committee noted that the document submitted in appeal viz a viz the grounds mentioned in the impugned order dated 29.03.2025, required to be verified and keeping in view, the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi Judgment **dated 23.02.2017** passed in

W.P(C). no. 3231/2016 titled “Rambha College of Education V/s NCTE” wherein the Hon’ble Court has directed the Appeal Committee to take into consideration the subsequent documents of the Appellant while disposing of the Appeal has to be taken on record.

The NRC is required to verify the documents submitted in appeal from the concerned affiliating University as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time and decision taken accordingly.

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

In view of the afore-mentioned extracts of the court orders, the impugned order dated 29.03.2025 is set-aside as the Appellate Committee has decided to remand back the case to NRC for revisiting the matter.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC with a direction to verify documents, submitted in Appeal from the concerned authorities. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the NRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the NRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to NRC with a direction to verify the documents submitted in Appeal from the concerned authorities. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the NRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the NRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, S.S. B.Ed. College, 175, 177, 180, Manpur Kalan, Madanpur, Khair, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh-202135.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Principal Secretary, Department of Higher Education & Member Secretary, Uttar Pradesh State Council of Higher Education, 6th Floor, 619, Indira Bhawan, Ashok Marg, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 08.05.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-88/E-358606/2025 Appeal/4th Meeting, 2025
APPLWRC202514940/E-86459

M J College, 2038/2, 2039/1, Bhilai, Kohka-Junwani Road, Supela, Durg, Chattisgarh-490023	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Shreelekha Virulkar, Director
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	15.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	08.05.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF ORDER

The appeal of **M J College, 2038/2, 2039/1, Bhilai, Kohka-Junwani Road, Supela, Durg, Chattisgarh-490023** dated 24.02.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202404232181 / CHATISGARH / 2024 / REJC / 607** dated 06.02.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The Shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026.

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Shreelekha Virulkar, Director of M J College, 2038/2, 2039/1, Bhilai, Kohka-Junwani Road, Supela, Bhilai, Durg, Chattisgarh-490023 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 15.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "M J College fulfil the required minimum criteria by scoring 10 points based on the criteria given as - NAAC grade: B++ - 6 points, Multidisciplinary institutions successfully running for some number of years 10 years, 2 points, and Institutions running NCTE recognized courses 2 points."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held online on 15th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 27.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 06.02.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 4th Meeting held on 15.04.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee during online hearing noted that the Appellant Institution in addition to the submissions and explanation given in the appeal report, it has claimed that it is securing 10 points under shortlisting criteria i.e. NAAC- B++ grade – 6 points, MDI running for 10 years – 2 points and NCTE recognized B. Ed course – 2 points.

In view of the above, the Appeal Committee, after perusing the documents which were made available on records, noted that there is strength in the submission of the appellant institution and as such, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the institution successfully secured 10 points. Therefore, instant appeal deserves to be allowed after setting aside the order dated 06.02.2025 passed by WRC, NCTE

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to WRC, NCTE with a direction to revisit the documents submitted by the institution and take decision accordingly on the basis of scrutiny of the documents and recalculation of shortlisting criteria points and take further necessary action accordingly as per NCTE Act, Rules & Regulations as applicable.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case after setting aside the order dt. 06.02.2025 to WRC, NCTE with a direction to revisit the documents submitted by the institution and take decision accordingly on the basis of scrutiny of the documents and recalculation of shortlisting criteria points and take further necessary action accordingly as per NCTE Act, Rules & Regulations as applicable. The Appellant is directed to forward to the WRC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, M J College, 2038/2, 2039/1, Bhilai, Kohka-Junwani Road, Supela, Durg, Chattisgarh-490023.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Chhattisgarh, First floor, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh-492002.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 08.05.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-90/E-359459/2025 Appeal/4th Meeting, 2025
APPLWRC202514973/E-26959

Maulana Azad National Urdu University, Mannu Campus Bhopal, Shanti Nagar, Behind Holy Family School, Near Prakash Vidhayalaya, Pipalner, Airport Road, Gandhi Nagar, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh-462036	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Ms. Vanaja Mahadasu, Dean, School of Education and Training
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	15.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	08.05.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF ORDER

The appeal of **Maulana Azad National Urdu University, Mannu Campus Bhopal, Shanti Nagar, Behind Holy Family School, Near Prakash Vidhayalaya, Pipalner, Airport Road, Gandhi Nagar, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh-462036** dated 12.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993. is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202405162958 / MADHYA PRADESH / 2024 / REJC / 1211** dated 06.02.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that: "The Shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Ms. Vanaja Mahadasu, Dean, School of Education and Training of Maulana Azad National Urdu University, Mannu Campus Bhopal, Shanti Nagar, Behind Holy Family School, Near Prakash Vidhayalaya, Pipalner, Airport Road, Gandhi Nagar, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh-462036 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 15.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "1. The appellant name above begs to prefer this memorandum of appeal under section 18 of the National Council for Teacher Education (NCTE) Act, 1993 (73 of 1993) aggrieved with the order no. NCTE/WRC/ 2526202405162958 /MADHYA PRADESH /2024REJC/1211 dated 6.2.2025 passed by the Western Regional Committee in its 411th meeting held on 6/1/2025 in which, ITEP application submitted by MANUU Campus Bhopal for the

academic session 2025-26 has been rejected by Western Regional Committee (WRC) as mentioned in the para 1 of the order under clause 14/15(3)(b) of NCTE Act,1993 as MANUU Campus Bhopal do not fulfil the minimum criteria of 10 points for shortlisting of application submitted by the institution on online portal of NCTE (as prescribed by NCTE in its 60th General Body Meeting). A copy of the said order is annexed herewith as Annexure-I to this appeal. 2. We wish to bring to your kind notice that the MANUU Campus Bhopal a constituent unit of MAULANA AZAD ANATIONAL URDU UNIVERSITY (MANUU), Hyderabad has submitted an application (no. 2526202405162958) for Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) for the session 2025-26 for 1 Unit of B.A B.Ed (Preparatory Stage). Grounds of Appeal 1. The Maulana Azad National Urdu University is a Central University with National Jurisdiction (All India Jurisdiction) (Sec 6 of MANUU Act, 1996) (No.2 of 1997) (Annexure-2) established by an act of parliament in 1998. The academic thrust of MANUU lies in its unique service of providing education from elementary to doctoral levels in the Urdu language. MANUU has carved a niche as one of the preferred destinations of higher education for the academically marginalized section of society. There are 18 satellite and off-campus of MANUU in 11 States across India. About 6,300 students are pursuing various programs in regular mode. 2. MANUU is a member of Association of Indian Universities, Association of Commonwealth Universities, Asian Association of Open Universities, Association for the Study of Persionate Societies, India International Centre and Indian Academy of Social Sciences. 3. MANUU is a unique multi-disciplinary dual mode University offering Programmes in Urdu Medium with a focus on Arts, Languages, Humanities, Social Sciences, Sciences, Education and Technology. The campus/regular programmes are offered through Eight (8) Schools of Studies namely School of Arts and Social Sciences, School of Commerce and Business Management, School of Education and Training, School of Journalism and Mass Communication, School of Languages, Linguistics and Indology, School of Sciences, School of Technology and MANUU Law School. These Eight Schools have 20 Departments, which offer 115 programs (31 Ph.D. 31 PG 17 UG, 09 Diploma and 27 PG Diploma programs and Certificate courses). MANUU has nine academic, technical, professional training and research centres, namely Al-Beruni Centre for Social Inclusion,

Centre for Information Technology (CIT), Centre for Professional Development of Urdu Medium Teachers (CPDUMT), Centre for Urdu Culture Studies (CUCS), Centre for Women Studies (CWS), H.K. Sherwani Centre for Deccan Studies (HKSCDS), Instructional Media Centre (IMC), and UGC – MMTTC. MANUU has two directorates: Directorate of Admissions (DoA) and Directorate of Translation, Translation studies, Lexicography and Publication (DTTLP). 4. MANUU has two Satellite Campuses: one in Lucknow and the other in Srinagar. It has eight Colleges of Teacher Education/MANUU Campus, one each at Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh), Asansol (West Bengal), Aurangabad (Maharashtra), Bidar (Karnataka), Darbhanga (Bihar), Nuh (Haryana), Sambhal (Uttar Pradesh) and Srinagar (Jammu and Kashmir). It has five Polytechnics one each in Bengaluru (Karnataka), Cuttack (Orissa), Darbhanga (Bihar), Hyderabad (Telangana) and Kadapa (Andhra Pradesh). It has three ITIs one each in Bengaluru (Karnataka), Darbhanga (Bihar), and Hyderabad (Telangana) and it has three Urdu Model Schools one each in Darbhanga (Bihar), Hyderabad (Telangana) and 3) Nuh (Haryana). 5. The distance education programmes of MANUU are offered through Centre for Distance and Online Education (formerly Directorate of Distance Education) which has a network of nine Regional and five Sub Regional Centres spread across 11 States of India. The nine Regional Centres are located one each at Bengaluru, Bhopal, Darbhanga, Delhi, Kolkata, Mumbai, Patna, Ranchi, and Srinagar and five Sub-Regional Centres, one each at Amravati, Hyderabad, Jammu, Lucknow, and Nuh and 157 Learners Support centres catering to more than one lakh students at their doorstep. 6. All the above mentioned campuses/centres are governed by the various Governing bodies of MANUU. The Governing bodies of MANUU are the Court, the Executive Council, Academic Council, School Boards, Boards of Studies of the Departments, Finance Committee and other such committees and authorities as declared by the Statutes of MANUU. The Chancellor is an ex-officio chairperson of the Court. The Vice Chancellor is an ex-officio chairperson of the Executive Council, Academic Council and Finance Committee. The Academic Council include Professors from all the Satellite Campuses and CTEs which also includes Prof. Noushad Husain (Principal CTE Bhopal), Prof. Faiz Ahmad (Principal CTE Darbhanga), Prof. Adam Paul Patteti (Professor, CTE Darbhanga), Prof. Abdul Raheem

(Professor, CTE Bhopal), Prof. Bilal Rafiq Shah (Professor, CTE Srinagar), and Prof. Syed Hasan Qasim, (Principal MANUU Varanasi Campus). 7. MANUU is recognized as one of the leading universities in the country, offering a broad spectrum of educational programs in General, Professional, Technical, and Vocational disciplines. The university has consistently demonstrated academic excellence and has been accredited by NAAC with an 'A' Grade in 2009 and 2016, and re-accredited with an 'A+' Grade in 2022, securing a CGPA of 3.36 on a 4-point scale in the third cycle of assessment (Annexure-3). The NAAC accreditation applies to all MANUU campuses across the country, as MANUU functions as a single entity with centralized administration. The NAAC Peer Team's visit encompassed multiple campuses of the university (Annexure-4), and their report explicitly acknowledges the inclusive nature of this accreditation. The peer team's observation that one of the major feature in the context of MANUU (as perceived by Peer team), "the University is fulfilling its mandate of catering to the Urdu speaking marginalised populations through its 32 campuses spread across 20 states of India" confirms that the accreditation granted to MANUU applies to all its campuses.(Annexure-5) 8. Given that all recruitment, funding, and administrative functions are centrally managed by the MANUU headquarters in Hyderabad, individual campuses do not undergo separate accreditation processes. Since MANUU, Hyderabad, is a Central University and all its constituent campuses function under the same administrative and academic framework, hence, the accreditation granted to the parent university MANUU is applicable to all its constituent campuses. 9. Similarly, MANUU's NIRF ranking is institution-wide and includes all its constituent campuses. The ranking methodology of NIRF considers the entire university and not individual campuses. As a Central University, the ranking achieved by MANUU, Hyderabad, extends to all its constituent units since the academic and administrative policies, faculty recruitment, curriculum, and evaluation processes are centrally regulated. The submitted NIRF certificate represents the recognition of MANUU as a whole.(Annexure-6 & 7) 10. MANUU regularly submits AISHE data in compliance with the Ministry of Education (MoE) directives. The main campus of MANUU is registered under AISHE Code U-0023, while its Bhopal Campus is registered separately with distinct AISHE Code (C-30808) as constituent colleges under the main

campus, located across India. (Annexure-8) PRAYER In the light of the above submissions, the appellant, therefore, prays that the impugned order dated 6.2.2025 (411th meeting) of the respondent (WRC, NCTE) rejecting the ITEP application for not shortlisting the institution (here as MANUU Campus Bhopal) needs re-consideration and it is prayed that application may be considered for granting recognition for 1 Unit of ITEP B.A B.Ed. (Preparatory Stage) to the appellant MANUU Campus Bhopal (Constituent Unit of MANUU, Hyderabad) in the larger public interest.

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held online on 15th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP course on 29.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP course was refused by WRC vide order dt. 06.02.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 4th Meeting held on 15.04.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee during online hearing noted that the Appellant Institution in addition to the submissions and explanation given in the appeal report, it has claimed that it is NAAC A+ grade institution which entitles it to secure 7 points, NIRF ranking between (151 to 200) – 3 points.

In view of the above the Appeal Committee, after perusing the documents which were made available on records, noted that there is strength in the submission of the appellant institution and as such, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the institution successfully secured 10 points. Therefore, instant appeal deserves to be allowed after setting aside the order dated 06.02.2025 passed by WRC, NCTE

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to WRC, NCTE with a direction to revisit the documents submitted by the institution and take decision accordingly on the basis of scrutiny of the documents and recalculation of shortlisting criteria points and take further necessary action accordingly as per NCTE Act, Rules & Regulations as applicable.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case after setting aside the order dt. 06.02.2025 to WRC, NCTE with a direction to revisit the documents submitted by the institution and take decision accordingly on the basis of scrutiny of the documents and recalculation of shortlisting criteria points and take further necessary action accordingly as per NCTE Act, Rules & Regulations as applicable. The Appellant is directed to forward to the WRC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Maulana Azad National Urdu University, Mannu Campus Bhopal, Shanti Nagar, Behind Holy Family School, Near Prakash Vidhayalaya, Pipalner, Airport Road, Gandhi Nagar, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh-462036.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 2nd floor, Annex-3, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal – 462004.**



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 08.05.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-95/E-360207/2025 Appeal/4th Meeting, 2025
APPLSRC202514990/G-8459

Maharajahs College(A), 28, 498/1, 521, 479, 608, 678, 557, Tupakula Veedhi, Near Clock Tower, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh-535002	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. M. Sambasiva Rao, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	15.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	08.05.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF ORDER

The appeal of **Maharajahs College(A), 28, 498/1, 521, 479, 608, 678, 557, Tupakula Veedhi, Near Clock Tower, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh-535002** dated 25.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / SRC / 2526202405162933 / ANDHRA PRADESH / 2024 / REJC / 1189** dated 29.01.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that: - "The institution has not uploaded / submitted legally valid land documents in the name of applicant society /institution."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. M. Sambasiva Rao, Principal of Maharajahs College(A), 28, 498/1, 521, 479, 608, 678, 557, Tupakula Veedhi, Near Clock Tower, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh-535002 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 15.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Maharajah Alak Narayan Society of Arts and Science (MANSAS) founded in the year 1958 was endowed with about 14,000 Acres of land and Fort, Royal Palaces and other Buildings by the last Crowned Prince of Vizianagaram Dr. PVG Raju and was registered under section 38 of the Andhra Pradesh Charitable and Hindu Religious Institutions and Endowments Act 17 of 1966, Government of Andhra Pradesh. Maharajah's College(A), Vizianagaram is, one of the Institution under the Society MANSAS, an applicant for the introduction of a 4-Year integrated B.Ed. program as furnished hereunder, application No. 2526202405162933 dated 30.05.2024. In the process of review of our proposal, we were communicated about certain short falls in documentation wide reference-1 and Reference-3. Against Ref-3, the institution has uploaded documents related to deficiencies identified including land registration documents comprising of Society Registration, Trust deed and particulars of the land under the enjoyment of Maharajahs College(A) as under., However, vide reference-1, it was mentioned that legally valid land documents be uploaded. In this regard, please find enclosed, in addition to the documents already submitted and mentioned as above, a

letter of authentication of land title, issued by the competent authority i.e., Tahsildar, Vizianagaram, L.Dis.No.444/2025/SA, dt.22-03-2025 along with other relevant documents for your record, review and necessary consideration. Kindly do the needful and accord the necessary approval for the introduction of 4-Year Integrated Teacher Training Program at Maharajah's College (Autonomous), Vizianagaram. Thanking you, Yours Sincerely."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held online on 15th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 30.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the SRC vide order dated 29.01.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 4th Meeting held on 15.04.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee during online hearing noted that the Appellant Institution in addition to the submissions and explanation given in the appeal report, it has claimed that it submitted all the desired documents earlier however, the appellant institution has submitted again a letter of authentication of land title issued by the Competent Authority along with other relevant documents for record, review and necessary consideration by the appeal Committee.

In view of the above the Appeal Committee, after perusing the documents which were made available on records, noted that there is strength in the submission of the appellant institution and as such, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the institution succeeded in submitting the requisite documents duly signed by the Competent Authority. Therefore, instant appeal deserves to be allowed after setting aside the order dated 29.01.2025 passed by SRC, NCTE.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case after setting aside the order dt. 29.01.2025 to SRC, NCTE with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal which are required to be sent to them by the appellant institution and take further necessary action as per applicable Rules & Regulation of the NCTE issued from time to time. The Appellant is directed to forward to the SRC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case after setting aside the order dt. 29.01.2025 to SRC, NCTE with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal which are required to be sent to them by the appellant institution and take further necessary action as per applicable Rules & Regulation of the NCTE issued from time to time. The Appellant is directed to forward to the SRC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Maharajahs College(A), 28, 498/1, 521, 479, 608, 678, 557, Tupakula Veedhi, Near Clock Tower, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh-535002.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Secretary, Andhra Pradesh State Council of Higher Education, 3rd, 4th and 5th floors, Neeladri Towers, Sri Ram Nagar, 6th Battalion Road, Atmakur(V), Mangalagiri(M), Guntur, Andhra Pradesh-522503.**



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 08.05.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-97/E-359832/2025 Appeal/4th Meeting, 2025
APPLERC202514979/ए-86959

Goalpara College, 206, Agia Road, Balijana, Goalpara, Assam-783101 APPELLANT	<u>Vs</u>	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075 RESPONDENT
---	------------------	--

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Santosh Kumar Mishra, Vice-Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, ERC
Date of Hearing	15.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	08.05.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF ORDER

The appeal of **Goalpara College, 206, Agia Road, Balijana, Goalpara, Assam-783101** dated 18.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993. The Appellant Institution has filled its appeal on the issue of additional intake which has not been extended by the NCTE. However, it has claimed that the appellant institution has complete faculties to run the said course with 2 units.

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Santosh Kumar Mishra, Vice-Principal of Goalpara College, 206, Agia Road, Balijana, Goalpara, Assam-783101 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 15.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "In fact we appointed exactly 22 faculty members for two units BA. B.Ed. & B.Sc. B.Ed. but somehow two names had been dropped while we sent the list for approval of the Affiliating Authority. Now, we have the approval of 22 faculty members names as per the NCTE norms."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held online on 15th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Eastern Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 23.05.2024. The Recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was issued by the ERC vide order dated 03.03.2025. The Appellant Institution has filled its appeal on the issue of additional intake which has not been extended by the NCTE. However, it has claimed that the appellant institution has complete faculties to run the said course with 2 units. The Appellant institution in its Appeal Report informed that: - **"Shortage of Two Faculty Members one in Assamese and one in Educational Studies."**

The instant matter was placed in its 4th Meeting held on 15.04.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee during online hearing noted that the Appellant Institution in addition to the submissions and explanation given in the appeal report, it has claimed that due to connectivity issue, the institution could not upload the details of two facilities inadvertently due to which only 1 unit has been granted instead of allowing for 2 Units by ERC, NCTE.

In view of the above the Appeal Committee, after perusing the documents which were made available on records, noted that there is strength in the submission of the appellant institution and as such, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the institution successfully submitted the requisite documents before the appeal committee, therefore, instant appeal deserves to be allowed.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to ERC, NCTE with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal which are required to be sent to them by the appellant institution and take further necessary action as per applicable Rules & Regulation of the NCTE issued from time to time. The Appellant is directed to forward to the ERC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to ERC, NCTE with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal which are required to be sent to them by the appellant institution and take further necessary action as per applicable Rules & Regulation of the NCTE issued from time to time. The Appellant is directed to forward to the ERC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Goalpara College, 206, Agia Road, Balijana, Goalpara, Assam-783101.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Higher Education Department, Assam Secretariat, Block 'C', 3rd floor, Secretariat Rd, Dispur, Guwahati, Assam-781006.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 08.05.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-109/E-360668/2025 Appeal/4th Meeting, 2025
APPLSRC202514983/E-86959

Alvas College (Autonomous), 599/3pa3, 599/3a5, 657/3aP1, 599//3a2, 657/3b, Sundari Ananda Alva Campus, Vidyagiri, Moodubidir, Puthige, South Kannada, Karnataka-574227	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Kurian, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	15.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	08.05.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF ORDER

The appeal of Alvas College (Autonomous), 599/3pa3, 599/3a5, 657/3aP1, 599//3a2, 657/3b, Sundari Ananda Alva Campus, Vidyagiri, Moodubidir, Puthige, South Kannada, Karnataka-574227 dated 21.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. F.No.NCTE/SRC/2526202404232195/ KARNATAKA/ 2024/REJC/617 dated 29.01.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that:- "The Final Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution vide dated 30.12.2024 with a direction to submit reply within 15 days. The institution has not replied nor uploaded any documentary proof in respect of the Final Show Cause Notice issued on 30.12.2024 and the stipulated time period is over."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Kurian, Principal of Alvas College (Autonomous), 599/3pa3, 599/3a5, 657/3aP1, 599//3a2, 657/3b, Sundari Ananda Alva Campus, Vidyagiri, Moodubidir, Puthige, South Kannada, Karnataka-574227 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 15.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "We have already submitted all the details in online and offline modes, reg post on 23.12.2024, 01.01.2025 and courier on 18.01.2025 Rs 150000/- with ref no-240531186155042."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held online on 15th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 31.05.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the SRC vide order dt. 29.01.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 4th Meeting held on 15.04.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee during online hearing noted that the Appellant Institution in addition to the submissions and explanation given in the appeal report, it has claimed it has submitted first, second and third responses via post and that the institution has got extension of validity period from NAAC with extension up to 31.12.2029 with CGP 3.23 and grade A and NOC from Mangalore University to start integrated B.Sc. B.Ed, BA. B.Ed and B.Com. B.Ed program Noc from Karnataka Government along with governing council of the institution (2024-2028), Non encumbrance certificate duly signed and executed by Competent authority along with land use certificate including building plan.

In view of the above the Appeal Committee, after perusing the documents which were made available on records, noted that there is strength in the submission of the appellant institution and as such, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the institution succeeded in submitting the requisite documents duly signed by the Competent Authority. Therefore, instant appeal deserves to be allowed after setting aside the order dated 29.01.2025 passed by SRC, NCTE.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC, NCTE with a direction to revisit the documents submitted by the institution and take decision accordingly on the basis of scrutiny of the documents and recalculation of shortlisting criteria points and take further necessary action accordingly as per NCTE Act, Rules & Regulations as applicable.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case after setting aside the order dt. 29.01.2025 to SRC, NCTE with a direction to revisit the documents submitted by the institution and take decision accordingly on the basis of scrutiny of the documents and recalculation of shortlisting criteria points and take further necessary action

accordingly as per NCTE Act, Rules & Regulations as applicable. The Appellant is directed to forward to the SRC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Alvas College (Autonomous), 599/3pa3, 599/3a5, 657/3aP1, 599//3a2, 657/3b, Sundari Ananda Alva Campus, Vidyagiri, Moodubidir, Puthige, South Kannada, Karnataka-574227.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Principal Secretary to Government, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Secretary Establishment, Higher Education Dept., Room No. 645 A, 2nd Gate, 6th Floor, M.S.Building, Bengaluru-1, Karnataka.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 08.05.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-110/E-360666/2025 Appeal/4th Meeting, 2025
APPLSRC202514997/E-86959

Sastra Deemed University, 141/3b, Trichy-Thanjavur NH, Tirumalaisamudram, Tamil Nadu- 613401	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. R. Chandramouli, Registrar & Dr. Usha Ajitkumar, Professor and Head of Education
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	15.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	08.05.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF ORDER

The appeal of **Sastra Deemed University, 141/3b, Trichy-Thanjavur NH, Tirumalaisamudram, Tamil Nadu-613401** dated 26.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F.No.NCTE/SRC/2526202405012513/TAMIL NADU/2024/REJC/841** dated 29.01.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that:- “The University is not a Multi-Disciplinary Institution as it is not running any course in the field of Liberal Education. The University has not uploaded the Mutation Certificate issued by the competent revenue authority. The university changed the name of trust from Balasevha Educational and Charitable Trust to Shanmugha Institute of Advanced Learning vide amendment of trust correction deed dated 19.01.2001 but the name of trust has not been changed in the revenue records. Hence the land is not in the name of applicant trust/institution.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. R. Chandramouli, Registrar & Dr. Usha Ajitkumar, Professor and Head of Education of Sastra Deemed University, 141/3b, Trichy-Thanjavur NH, Tirumalaisamudram, Tamil Nadu-613401 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 15.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “(i) The appellant institution, SASTRA Deemed University denies the findings of the Southern Regional Office of National Council for Teacher Education that SASTRA is not a multi-disciplinary institution. The institution was started in the year 1984 as an Engineering College and subsequent to the conferment of Deemed University in the year 2001, SASTRA is offering various Undergraduate, Postgraduate and Ph. D. Programmes in Engineering, Sciences, Law, Management, Education, Liberal Arts, etc. Hence it is submitted that SASTRA is a multi-disciplinary institution, aligned with NEP 2020 and the list of degree programmes offered by SASTRA is appended as: (Appendix -1) A copy of the relevant statutory bodies approval (wherever necessary) for the above mentioned programme is already attached as part of the earlier document in the previous section.

(ii) A copy of the Mutation Certificate issued by the competent authority in the Thanjavur District Administration, Government of Tamilnadu is attached. (Appendix -2) (iii) Shanmugha Arts, Science, Technology & Research Academy (SASTRA) is a public charitable trust registered under Section 10(23C) of the Income Tax Act. Originally the Trust was formed as Balasevha Educational & Charitable Trust, which was later re-named as Shanmugha Institute of Advanced Learning & later re-named as Shanmugha Arts, Science, Technology & Research Academy (SASTRA), vide document no.73 of 2001 registered on 2nd February 2001. During the conferment of the Deemed University, based on the UGC guidelines, the above change in name was carried out and only after the change, the MHRD issued notification vide Ref. F-9-7/98-U-3 dated 26th April 2001 for the conferment of Deemed University status to SASTRA. Even at that time of conferment, the issue of land and other assets to be transferred was discussed & clarified abundantly by experts & legal counsels and agreed by MHRD & UGC during the time of conferment of Deemed University status. As on date the SASTRA Deemed University is administered Shanmugha Arts, Science, Technology & Research Academy (SASTRA), registered as public charitable trust only. Hence, the ground for rejecting our application citing new discrepancy is unfounded and not aligned with various statutory bodies approvals, issued in the past to SASTRA. Also, UGC, AICTE, BCI, NCTE etc. and other statutory bodies from other Ministries have recognized this name change and continue to grant approval and recognition to SASTRA. A copy of the Registered Trust Deeds explaining the Trust's name change is attached herewith. (Appendix -3)

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held online on 15th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 21.05.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the SRC vide order dt. 29.01.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 4th Meeting held on 15.04.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee during online hearing noted that the Appellant Institution in addition to the submissions and explanation given in the appeal report, it has claimed that it is a multi-disciplinary institution established in 1994 as an engineering college and subsequent to the conferment of deemed university in the year 2001. Sastra offers various Undergraduate, Postgraduate and Ph. D. Programmes in Engineering, Sciences, Law, Management, Education, Liberal Arts, etc. The institution has also submitted the mutation certificate 28.02.2025 issued by the competent authority i.e. Tehsildar.

In view of the above the Appeal Committee, after perusing the documents which were made available on records, noted that there is strength in the submission of the appellant institution and as such, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the institution succeeded in submitting the requisite documents duly signed by the Competent Authority. Therefore, instant appeal deserves to be allowed after setting aside the order dated 29.01.2025 passed by SRC, NCTE.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC, NCTE with a direction to revisit the documents submitted by the institution and take decision accordingly on the basis of scrutiny of the documents and recalculation of shortlisting criteria points and take further necessary action accordingly as per NCTE Act, Rules & Regulations as applicable.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case after setting aside the order dt. 29.01.2025 to SRC, NCTE with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal which are required to be sent to them by the appellant institution and take further necessary action as per applicable Rules & Regulation of the NCTE issued from time to time. The Appellant is directed to forward to the SRC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Sastra Deemed University, 141/3b, Trichy-Thanjavur NH, Tirumalaisamudram, Tamil Nadu-613401.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Principal Secretary to Government, Higher Education Department, Govt. of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George, Chennai, Tamil Nadu-600009.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 08.05.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-112/E-360438/2025 Appeal/4th Meeting, 2025
APPLERC202514978/E-86959

Maulana Azad National Urdu University, MANUU Campus, 02, Khesra No.-1846, 1850, 1851, 1852, 1853, Chandanpatti, Laheriasarai, Hayaghat, Darbhanga, Bihar-846002	<u>Vs</u>	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Ms. Vanaja Mahadasu, Dean, School of Education and Training
Respondent by	Regional Director, ERC
Date of Hearing	15.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	08.05.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF ORDER

The appeal of **Maulana Azad National Urdu University, MANUU Campus, 02, Khesra No.-1846, 1850, 1851, 1852, 1853, Chandanpatti, Laheriasarai, Hayaghat, Darbhanga, Bihar-846002** dated 18.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F.No.NCTE/ERC/2526202404272433/BIHAR/2024/REJC/780** dated 27.01.2025 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that:- "The institution does not qualify the shortlisting criteria of minimum 10 points for processing of the application on the basis of extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Ms. Vanaja Mahadasu, Dean, School of Education and Training of Maulana Azad National Urdu University, MANUU Campus, 02, Khesra No.-1846, 1850, 1851, 1852, 1853, Chandanpatti, Laheriasarai, Hayaghat, Darbhanga, Bihar-846002 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 15.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "(1.) It is to bring to your kind notice that the MAULANA AZAD ANATIONAL URDU UNIVERSITY (MANUU), MANUU CAMPUS DARBHANGA has submitted application for Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) B.A., B.Ed Preparatory one Unit for the session 2025-26 at MANUU CAMPUS DARBHANGA, for which we have received show cause notices. We here with submit our memorandum of appeal against the above order received in response to the reply submitted against the show cause notices as follows: 1. The Maulana Azad National Urdu University is a Central University with National Jurisdiction (All India Jurisdiction) (Sec 6 of MANUU Act, 1996) (No.2 of 1997) established by an act of Parliament in 1998. The academic thrust of MANUU lies in its unique service of providing education from elementary to doctoral levels in the Urdu language. MANUU has carved a niche as one of the preferred destinations of higher education for the academically marginalized section of society. About 6,300 students are pursuing various programs in regular mode. 2. MANUU is a member of

Association of Indian Universities, Association of Commonwealth Universities, Asian Association of Open Universities, Association for the Study of Persionate Societies, India International Centre and Indian Academy of Social Sciences. 3. MANUU is a unique multi-disciplinary dual mode University offering Programmes in Urdu Medium with a focus on Arts, Languages, Humanities, Social Sciences, Sciences, Law, Education and Technology. The campus/regular programmes are offered through Eight (8) Schools of Studies namely School of Arts and Social Sciences, School of Commerce and Business Management, School of Education and Training, School of Journalism and Mass Communication, School of Languages, Linguistics and Indology, School of Sciences, School of Technology and MANUU Law School. These Eight Schools have 20 Departments, which offer 115 programs (31 Ph.D. 31 PG 17 UG, 09 Diploma and 27 PG Diploma programs and Certificate courses). MANUU has nine academic, technical, professional training and research centres, namely Al-Beruni Centre for Social Inclusion, Centre for Information Technology (CIT), Centre for Professional Development of Urdu Medium Teachers (CPDUMT), Centre for Urdu Culture Studies (CUCS), Centre for Women Studies (CWS), H.K. Sherwani Centre for Deccan Studies (HKSCDS), Instructional Media Centre (IMC), and UGC – MMTTC. MANUU has two directorates: Directorate of Admissions (DoA) and Directorate of Translation, Translation studies, Lexicography and Publication (DTTLP). 4. MANUU has two Satellite Campuses: one in Lucknow and the other in Srinagar. It has eight Colleges of Teacher Education, one each at, Asansol (west Bengal), Aurangabad (Maharastra), Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh), Bidar (Karnataka), Darbhanga (Bihar), Nuh (Haryana), Sambhal (Uttar Pradesh) and Srinagar (Jammu and Kashmir). It has five Polytechnics one each in Bengaluru (Karnataka), Cuttack (Orissa), Darbhanga (Bihar), Hyderabad (Telangana) and Kadapa (Andhra Pradesh). It has three ITIs one each in Begaluru (Karnakata), Darbhanga (Bihar), and Hyderabad (Telangana) and it has three Urdu Model Schools one each in Darbhanga (Bihar), Hyderabad (Telangana) and 3) Nuh (Haryana). 5. The distance education programmes of MANUU are offered through Centre for Distance and Online Education (formerly Directorate of Distance Education) which has a network of nine Regional and five Sub Regional Centres spread across 11 States of India. The nine Regional Centres

are located one each at Bangaluru, Bhopal, Darbhanga, Delhi, Kolkatta, Mumbai, Patna, Ranchi, and Srinagar and five Sub-Regional Centres, one each at Amravati, Hyderabad, Jammu, Lucknow, and Nuh and 157 Learners Support centres catering to more than one lakh students at their doorstep. 6. All the above-mentioned campuses/centres are governed by the various Governing bodies of MANUU. The Governing bodies of MANUU are the Court, the Executive Council, Academic Council, School Boards, Boards of Studies of the Departments, Finance Committee and other such committees and authorities as declared by the Statutes of MANUU. The Chancellor is an ex-officio chairperson of the Court. The Vice Chancellor is an ex-officio chairperson of the Executive Council, Academic Council and Finance Committee. The Academic Council include Professors from all the Satellite Campuses and CTEs which also includes Prof. Md Faiz Ahmad (Principal CTE Darbhanga), Prof. Adam Paul Patteti (Professor, CTE Darbhanga), Prof. Noushad Husain (Principal CTE Bhopal), Prof. Abdul Raheem (Professor, CTE Bhopal), Prof. Bilal Rafiq Shah (Professor, CTE Srinagar), and Prof. Syed Hasan Qasim, (Principal MANUU Varanasi Campus). 7. MANUU is recognized as one of the leading universities in the country, offering a broad spectrum of educational programs in General, Professional, Technical, and Vocational disciplines. The university has consistently demonstrated academic excellence and has been accredited by NAAC with an 'A' Grade in 2009 and 2016, and re-accredited with an 'A+' Grade in 2022, securing a CGPA of 3.36 on a 4-point scale in the third cycle of assessment. The NAAC accreditation applies to all MANUU campuses across the country, as MANUU functions as a single entity with centralized administration. The NAAC Peer Team's visit encompassed multiple campuses of the university, and their report explicitly acknowledges the inclusive nature of this accreditation. The peer team's observation that one of the major feature in the context of MANUU (as perceived by Peer team), "the University is fulfilling its mandate of catering to the Urdu speaking marginalized populations through its 32 campuses spread across 20 states of India" confirms that the accreditation granted to MANUU applies to all its campuses. 8. Given that all recruitment, funding, and administrative functions are centrally managed by the MANUU headquarters in Hyderabad, individual campuses do not undergo separate accreditation processes. Since MANUU, Hyderabad, is a Central

University and all its constituent campuses function under the same administrative and academic framework, hence, the accreditation granted to the parent university MANUU is applicable to all its constituent campuses. 9. Similarly, MANUU's NIRF ranking is institution-wide and includes all its constituent campuses. The ranking methodology of NIRF considers the entire university and not individual campuses. As a Central University, the ranking achieved by MANUU, Hyderabad, extends to all its constituent units since the academic and administrative policies, faculty recruitment, curriculum, and evaluation processes are centrally regulated. The submitted NIRF certificate represents the recognition of MANUU as a whole. 10. MANUU regularly submits AISHE data in compliance with the Ministry of Education (MoE) directives. The main campus of MANUU is registered under AISHE Code U-0023, while its off-campus are registered separately with distinct AISHE Codes as constituent colleges under the main campus, located across India. 11. Given the above clarifications, we respectfully request the NCTE to kindly reconsider our application and grant the necessary approval for recognition of the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) Preparatory one unit at MANUU CAMPUS DARBHANGA. 12. We remain at your disposal for any further clarifications or additional documents that may be required to facilitate this process. Kindly provide an opportunity for a personal hearing if deemed necessary. Thank you for your time and consideration. PRINCIPAL, MANUU CAMPUS DARBHANGA. (2.) Maulana Azad National Urdu University, A Central University with Jurisdiction over the whole of India (as per Clause 6 of MANUU Act, 1996), got NAAC, A+ with a CGPA of 3.36 in its third cycle. NAAC assesses the institution as a whole and including its constituent units irrespective of their location. CTE Darbhanga is a Constituent Unit of MANUU, and the inputs are added to the SSR Submitted to NAAC. Copy of Profile enclosed. It is also pertinent to note that the same procedure is followed while submitting data in MANUU's annual reports and for NIRF Ranking. Hence, CTE Darbhanga is considered accredited and given the same rank as MANUU. In its assessment, all campuses 32 campuses across 20 states are included. Therefore, MANUU Campus Darbhanga is also included in the NAAC assessment. (3.) Maulana Azad National Urdu University started its BA course with 30 intakes on the campus from the academic session 2024-25. (The proof of the

running of Multi-Disciplinary Programme has been uploaded and submitted successfully through the Online Written Representation) (4.) The institution has submitted an NCTE-ITEP affidavit and a fresh affidavit mentioning the land and built-up areas enclosed. (The proof of the land and built-up area on affidavit has been uploaded and submitted successfully through the Online Rewritten Representation) (5.) MANUU Campus Darbhanga has 8 acres of land, which is 32374.9 sqmt. In addition to this land, in its cabinet meeting held on 08.09.2020 under item no 57, the Government of Bihar decided to donate 20.876 acres of land for MANUU Campus Darbhanga. Out of this, 10.796 acres have been acquired, and the acquiring process for the rest of the land is ongoing. (6.) The mutation certificate is enclosed. (The proof of the Mutation certificate has been uploaded and submitted successfully through the Online Rewritten Representation) (7.) The Land Use Certificate is enclosed. (The proof of the Land Use Certificate has been uploaded and submitted successfully through the Online Rewritten Representation) (8.) The building plan is enclosed. (The proof of the readable photocopy of Building Plan issued by Executive Engineer MANUU has been uploaded and submitted successfully through the Online Rewritten Representation) (9.) The building safety certificate mentioned in the building completion certificate is enclosed. (The proof of Building safety certificate issued by Competent Authority has been uploaded and submitted successfully through the Online Rewritten Representation) (10.) The fire safety certificate is enclosed. (The proof of Fire safety certificate issued by Competent Authority has been uploaded and submitted successfully through the Online Rewritten Representation) (11.) The building completion certificate is enclosed. (The proof of the readable photocopy of Building Completion Certificate has been uploaded and submitted successfully through the Online Rewritten Representation)”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held online on 15th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Eastern Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP course on 24.05.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the ERC vide order dt. 27.01.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 4th Meeting held on 15.04.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee during online hearing noted that the Appellant Institution in addition to the submissions and explanation given in the appeal report, it has claimed that it is NAAC A+ grade institution which entitles it to secure 7 points, NIRF ranking between (151 to 200) – 3 points.

In view of the above the Appeal Committee, after perusing the documents which were made available on records, noted that there is strength in the submission of the appellant institution and as such, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the institution successfully secured 10 points. Therefore, instant appeal deserves to be allowed after setting aside the order dated 27.01.2025 passed by ERC, NCTE.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to ERC, NCTE with a direction to revisit the documents submitted by the institution and take decision accordingly on the basis of scrutiny of the documents and recalculation of shortlisting criteria points and take further necessary action accordingly as per NCTE Act, Rules & Regulations as applicable.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case after setting aside the order dt. 27.01.2025 to ERC, NCTE with a direction to revisit the documents submitted by the institution and take decision accordingly on the basis of scrutiny of the documents and recalculation of shortlisting criteria points and take further necessary action accordingly as per NCTE Act, Rules & Regulations as applicable. The Appellant is directed to forward to the ERC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Maulana Azad National Urdu University, MANUU Campus, 02, Khesra No.-1846, 1850, 1851, 1852, 1853, Chandanpatti, Laheriasarai, Hayaghat, Darbhanga, Bihar-846002.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Directorate of Higher Education of Bihar, Ground Floor, New Secretariat, Vikash Bhawan, Patna, Bihar-800015.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 08.05.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-115/E-360516/2025 Appeal/4th Meeting, 2025
APPLERC202514991/E-86959

Central Sanskrit University, 3807, Ekalavya Campus, Sipai Para, Lembucherra, Debendra Chandra Nagar T.K., West Tripura, Tripura-799210	<u>Vs</u>	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Prof. Bulusu Padma Mitra Srinivas, HoD of Shiksha-Shastra Dept.
Respondent by	Regional Director, ERC
Date of Hearing	15.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	08.05.2025

आदेश / ORDER

I. GROUND OF ORDER

The appeal of **Central Sanskrit University, 3807, Ekalavya Campus, Sipai Para, Lembucherra, Debendra Chandra Nagar T.K., West Tripura, Tripura-799210** dated 19.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / ERC / 2526202405203151 / TRIPURA / 2024 / REJC / 210** dated 27.01.2025 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that:- "The institution does not qualify the shortlisting criteria of minimum 10 points for processing of the application on the basis of extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Prof. Bulusu Padma Mitra Srinivas, HoD of Shiksha-Shastra Dept. of Central Sanskrit University, 3807, Ekalavya Campus, Sipai Para, Lembucherra, Debendra Chandra Nagar T.K., West Tripura, Tripura-799210 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 15.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "(1.) The multidisciplinary Institution secured 12 points is qualified for ITEP 2025-26 as per minutes of 60th emergent meeting of General body of NCTE held on 14 march 2024. (2.) 8 points for A++ grade by NAAC & Category 1 institution as per UGC, 2 points for running Multidisciplinary course, 2 points for running NCTE recognized course. Total 12 points."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held online on 15th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Eastern Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP course on 22.05.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the ERC vide order dt. 27.01.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 4th Meeting held on 15.04.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee during online hearing noted that the Appellant Institution in addition to the submissions and explanation given in the appeal report, it has claimed that it fulfills the shortlisting criteria as it is NAAC A++ grade institution which entitles it to secure 8 points, multi-disciplinary course i.e. 2 points and NCTE recognized course i.e. 2 points. Apart from the above the institution has submitted NAAC letter dated 12.10.2023 confirming that grade allocated to the University is applicable for all the 12 campuses.

In view of the above the Appeal Committee, after perusing the documents which were made available on records, noted that there is strength in the submission of the appellant institution and as such, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the institution successfully secured 10 points. Therefore, instant appeal deserves to be allowed after setting aside the order dated 27.01.2025 passed by ERC, NCTE.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to ERC, NCTE with a direction to revisit the documents submitted by the institution and take decision accordingly on the basis of scrutiny of the documents and recalculation of shortlisting criteria points and take further necessary action accordingly as per NCTE Act, Rules & Regulations as applicable.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case after setting aside the order dt. 27.01.2025 to ERC, NCTE with a direction to revisit the documents submitted by the institution and take decision accordingly on the basis of scrutiny of the documents and recalculation of shortlisting criteria points and take further necessary action accordingly as per NCTE Act, Rules & Regulations as applicable. The Appellant is directed to forward to the ERC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Central Sanskrit University, 3807, Ekalavya Campus, Sipai Para, Lembucherra, Debendra Chandra Nagar T.K., West Tripura, Tripura-799210.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Directorate of Higher Education, Government of Tripura, 1st Floor, Shiksha Bhawan, Office Lane, Agartala, Tripura West, 799001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 08.05.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-7/E-352774/2025 Appeal/4th Meeting, 2025
APPLWRC202414845/E-86959

Christ College, St. Paul Campus, 23/1/1, Anand Nagar, Piplani, Huzur, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh- 462022	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	15.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	08.05.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF ORDER

The appeal of **Christ College, St. Paul Campus, 23/1/1, Anand Nagar, Piplani, Huzur, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh-462022** dated 05.12.2024 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202404292449 / MADHYA PRADESH / 2024 / REJC / 789** dated 29.10.2024 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that:- "The Shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body Meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate multi-disciplinary institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of the documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from Christ College, St. Paul Campus, 23/1/1, Anand Nagar, Piplani, Huzur, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh-462022 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 15.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "(1) our institution was awarded an 'A' grade in our first NAAC accreditation cycle with CGPA of 3.21 on a 4 point scale, in 2014. Since then, we have consistently maintained quality standards and submitted the Annual Quality Assurance Report (AQAR) up to the year 2023. In the context of the pandemic situation and the announcement of the role of Quality Council of India (QCI) in this regard, the institution has been in confusion since then on what procedure should be followed for the Cycle 2 of NAAC accreditation. The copies of the supporting document to this effect are attached herewith. AND WHEREAS, the college would definitely go for Binary Accreditation provided the NAAC has laid down the

mandatory guidelines and published on their NAAC website. The copies of the supporting document are attached herewith. (2) AND WHEREAS, Christ college is a Multidisciplinary Institution with two streams of courses: (i) B. A (History, English, Economics, Psychology, Computer and Sociology) and (ii) B. Com (Commerce Plain and Commerce Computer) from the year 2018 -19 and two batches had already passed out successfully. The copies of the supporting document are attached herewith. AND WHEREAS, the required number of qualified teaching staff was appointed as per the Barkatullah University norms and the Madhya Pradesh College Code 28. The copies of the supporting document are attached herewith. AND WHEREAS, Christ college has been renewing the Affiliation of the B. A and B. Com courses with the Barkatullah University remitting the required Renewal Fee every year. The copies of the supporting document are attached herewith. AND WHEREAS, The college has already sufficient experienced and qualified staff as per norms of the NCTE and the affiliating University implementing the mandatory M.P. college code 28. (3) the college has the NOC from the Higher Education Department of M. P. to conduct Multidisciplinary Courses at Christ College, Bhopal. (4) Christ College has adequate financial resources, accommodation, library, laboratory and other necessary requirements for the proper functioning of the multidisciplinary teacher training course as mandated by NCTE.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held online on 15th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP course on 20.05.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the WRC vide order dt. 29.10.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 2nd Meeting, 2025 held on 19.02.2025. The appellant institution did not appear online to present its case before Appellate Authority

on 19.02.2025. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held on 15.04.2025 considered the documents submitted alongwith the Appeal Report as compliance of grounds of refusal order and observed that the appeal of the institution is still deficient on the following points: -

- (i) **The Appellant Institution has submitted its "NAAC" Certificate which is valid up to 20.02.2019 and as such the same is not effective and valid for the purposes of the shortlisting criteria. Thus, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."**

Hence, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above ground. The Appeal Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 29.10.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 29.10.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Christ College, St. Paul Campus, 23/1/1, Anand Nagar, Piplani, Huzur, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh-462022.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 2nd floor, Annex-3, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal – 462004.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 08.05.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-18/E-354309/2025 Appeal/4th Meeting, 2025
APPLWRC202414860/E-86959

N.E.S Education (B.Ed.) College, 44, Gorakhpur, Hatital Road, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482002 APPELLANT	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075 RESPONDENT
Representative of Appellant	Dr. Manoj Kumar Yadav, Administrator	
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC	
Date of Hearing	15.04.2025	
Date of Pronouncement	08.05.2025	

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF ORDER

The appeal of **N.E.S Education (B.Ed.) College, 44, Gorakhpur, Hatital Road, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482002** dated 21.12.2024 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202405122789 / MADHYA PRADESH / 2024 / REJC / 1080** dated 29.10.2024 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that:- "The Shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Manoj Kumar Yadav, Administrator of N.E.S Education (B.Ed.) College, 44, Gorakhpur, Hatital Road, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482002 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 15.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Institution is running B.Ed. course from 2005 undisputedly, we are multidisciplinary, we have also registered our college for "NIRF" no. ir-c-c-33338, also our application for 2a-12b certificate from "UGC" is under process. as NCTE has withdrawal the completion of "NAAC" that's why we have not got certificate, But now we are in the proses of getting "NAAC" very soon & submitting the affidavit for this, as we are one of the most reputed institution & our institution fulfil the ITP regulations 2018-19, we have own land & building, Permanent affiliation of University, also we are multidisciplinary & composite institution. Pls consider our appeal and grant the new course of ITEP."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held online on 15th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP course on 18.05.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the WRC vide order dt. 29.10.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 2nd Meeting, 2025 held on 19.02.2025. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held on 15.04.2025 considered the documents submitted alongwith the Appeal Report as compliance of grounds of refusal order and observed that the appeal of the institution is still deficient on the following points: -

- (i) **The Appeal Committee while going through the records, noted that the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."**

Hence, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above ground. The Appeal Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 29.10.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 29.10.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, N.E.S Education (B.Ed.) College, 44, Gorakhpur, Hatital Road, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482002.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 2nd floor, Annex-3, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal – 462004.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 08.05.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-22/E-354740/2025 Appeal/4th Meeting, 2025
APPLWRC202514886/E-86959

Jabalpur Public College, 49, Khasara No. 445/2, 446/2, 447/2, 448/2, 443/1, 443/48, Karmeta, Patan Road, Near New RTO Office, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482002	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Nivedita Paul, Professor
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	15.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	08.05.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF ORDER

The appeal of Jabalpur Public College, 49, Khasara No. 445/2, 446/2, 447/2, 448/2, 443/1, 443/48, Karmeta, Patan Road, Near New RTO Office, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482002 dated 13.01.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202405032590 / MADHYA PRADESH / 2024 / REJC / 908 dated 29.10.2024 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that:- "The Shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Nivedita Paul, Professor of Jabalpur Public College, 49, Khasara No. 445/2, 446/2, 447/2, 448/2, 443/1, 443/48, Karmeta, Patan Road, Near New RTO Office, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482002 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 15.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "As per order of NCTE meeting 407/23-24 September 2024 case no. 38 id no. 2526202405032590 it is mentioned that the institution does not fulfil the short-listing criteria whereas. 1-. The institution is running educational programme since 1997 as D.El.Ed., B.Ed. since 2003, M.Ed. since 2008 and B.A.B.Ed. since 2018. 2- the institution has already run multidisciplinary course other than NCTE course as B.Sc. nursing. 3- the institution is NAAC accredited grade b since 2015. 4- the institution is recognised college under

section 2(f) & 12 (B) of the UGC act 1956. kindly look into the matter and accept the application as an eligibility for running B.Sc. B.Ed. and B.Com. B.Ed. course.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held online on 15th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP course on 31.05.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the WRC vide order dt. 29.10.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 2nd Meeting, 2025 held on 19.02.2025. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held on 15.04.2025 considered the documents submitted alongwith the Appeal Report as compliance of grounds of refusal order and observed that the appeal of the institution is still deficient on the following points: -

- (i) The Appeal Committee while going through the records, noted that the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026.”**

Hence, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above ground. The Appeal Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 29.10.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 29.10.2024 issued by WRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Jabalpur Public College, 49, Khasara No. 445/2, 446/2, 447/2, 448/2, 443/1, 443/48, Karmeta, Patan Road, Near New RTO Office, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh-482002.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 2nd floor, Annex-3, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal – 462004.**



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 08.05.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-26/E-355028/2025 Appeal/4th Meeting, 2025
APPLWRC202414848 / E-86959

Department of Education, Maharaj Vinayak Global University, Plot No. 313, Harwar, NH11C, Amer, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302028	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. (Prof.) Yogesh Yadav, Chief Executive Officer
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	15.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	08.05.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF ORDER

The appeal of **Department of Education, Maharaj Vinayak Global University, Plot No. 313, Harwar, NH11C, Amer, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302028** dated 12.12.2024 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202402161370 / RAJASTHAN / 2024 / REJC / 370** dated 23.10.2024 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that:- "As per the details provided by the institution on the transition portal, the institution is not recognized for 4 year Integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. course by NCTE."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. (Prof.) Yogesh Yadav, Chief Executive Officer of Department of Education, Maharaj Vinayak Global University, Plot No. 313, Harwar, NH11C, Amer, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302028 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 15.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The Appellate Authority, Western Regional Committee (WRC), National Council for Teachers Education (NCTE), New Delhi. Subject: Appeal Under Section 18 the NCTE Act, 1993 against impugned order dated: 23.10.2024 passed by Western Regional Committee (WRC), NCTE, New Delhi. Sir, On behalf of the Appellant Dr. Vinod Kumar Upadhyay, Principal, Department of Education, Maharaj Vinayak Global University, Dhand, Jaipur-Delhi National Highway No. 11-C, Amer, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302028, it is most respectfully submitted before you the Grounds of the Appeal on which the impugned order dated: 23.10.2024 deserves to be quashed and set-aside. Grounds of the Appeal are as under:

1. It is submitted that for running B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. in Academic Session 2016-17 NCTE vide its recognition letter No. 152548 dated 04.07.2016, (NRC application 10738) had granted recognition and approval to appellant institute for running B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course regularly with 02 Units (100 students). Thereafter appellant Institute as and when required by the NCTE in the prescribed format submitted the approved list of qualified staff, details of fixed deposits of adequate financial resources, details of required

adequate infrastructure, details of available library books and laboratories in soft as well as in hard copy. Appellant also display all its information on its official website i.e., www.mvgu.ac.in and also regularly update the same time and again. But WRC without considering this important material fact that no deficiency of any kind is pending against Appellant Institute had passed an impugned order dated: 23.10.2024 without giving him a reasonable opportunity of hearing, this action of WRC is totally illegal, arbitrary and in violation of Principle of Natural Justice, hence this impugned order deserves to be quashed and set-aside. 2. It is submitted that NCTE had issued a Public Notice dated 05.02.2024 for all Education Training Institute with the direction for converting their existing B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course into ITEP for this NCTE had called an application. The last date of filling this ITEP online application was 05.03.2024. Appellant Institute in compliance of it, had applied for Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) through online on dated: 02.03.2024 vide application No. 2526202402161370 through WRC, NCTE Portal for the Academic Session: 2025-26, Appellant Institute while applying for ITEP course had given complete and detailed information and also attached all the required necessary documents on online portal of WRC. Appellant while filling an online application had not concealed any material fact from the NCTE, but WRC, without describing any deficiency or a reason of refusing the online application dated: 02.03.2024 for ITEP of the appellant, had passed an impugned order dated: 23.10.2024 without stating any specific reason or deficiency. This action of the WRC, NCTE, New Delhi is totally illegal, arbitrary and against the provisions of NCTE Act, 1993, hence this impugned order dated: 23.10.2024 deserves to be quashed and set-aside. 3. It is submitted that Western Regional Committee (WRC), National Council for Teachers Education (NCTE), New Delhi had passed the impugned order dated: 23.10.2024 without complying Section 14 (3) (b) and 15 (3) (b) of NCTE Act, 1993. Section 14 (3) (b) and 15 (3) (b) clearly states that if any institution does not fulfill the requirements laid down in Section 14 & 15 of Act, 1993 can pass an order for refusing recognition only after providing a reasonable opportunity to the concerned institution for making a written representation. It is pertinent to mention for running B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. in Academic Session: 2016-17 NCTE vide its recognition letter No. 152548 dated 04.07.2016 that our

Institute Maharaj Vinayak Global University is running B.A./B.Sc.B.Ed. from Academic Session 2016-17 and is duly recognized by NCTE for 4 years Integrated B.A./B.Sc. B.Ed. course . Further, it is order submitted that B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course offered by our Institute is also uploaded on the NCTE Website for Recognition Institute list of B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. programme the copy of NCTE Website in which our Institute B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. programme is recognized by NCTE . In this present appeal, WRC without providing a reasonable opportunity to the Appellant Institute for making written representation as enshrined in Section 14 (3) (b) and 15 (3) (b) of NCTE Act, 1993 had passed the impugned order dated: 23.10.2024 by which WRC had de-recognized 4-Year Integrated B.A. B.Ed. / B.Sc. B.Ed. Course of the appellant institute from next academic session, hence the impugned order dated: 23.10.2024 issued by the WRC without following the procedure laid down in Section 14 & 15 of the Act, 1993 is totally illegal, arbitrary and in violation of Principle of Natural Justice, therefore, the impugned order dated: 23.10.2024 deserves to be quashed and set-aside as ab-initio. 1. It is submitted that Section 14 (3) (b) and 15 (3) (b) of NCTE Act, 1993 clearly states that any order for refusing of the recognition of any Institute if passed by an authority, detailed reasons for doing same to be recorded in writing and the order should be speaking in nature. But in the present case, WRC before passing impugned order dated: 23.10.2024 had not recorded in writing the detailed reason for refusal of recognition of 4-Year Integrated B.A. B.Ed. / B.Sc. B.Ed. course running in Appellant Institute after fulfilling all the requirements of NCTE Act, 1993 since 2016-17, hence on this ground impugned order dated: 23.10.2024 deserves to be quashed and set-side as the same is non-speaking order. 2. It is submitted that the impugned order dated: 23.10.2024 is totally against the provisions of Section 14 (3) (b) and 15 (3) which are reproduced as under: 14. Recognition of institutions offering course of training in teacher education- (3) On receipt of an application by the Regional Committee from any institution under sub-section (1), and after obtaining from the institution concerned such other particulars as it may consider necessary, it shall,- (a) If it is satisfied that such institution has adequate financial resources, accommodation, library, qualified staff, laboratory and that it fulfills such other conditions required for proper functioning of the institution for a course or training in teacher

education, as may be determined by regulations, pass an order granting recognition to such institution, subject to such conditions as may be determined by regulation or (b) if it is of the opinion that such institution does not fulfill the requirements laid down in sub-clause (a), pass an order refusing recognition to such institution for reasons to be recorded in writing: Provided that before passing an order under sub-clause (b), the Regional Committee shall provide a reasonable opportunity to the concerned institution for making a written representation. 15. Permission for a new course or training by recognized institution- (3) On receipt of an application from an institution under subsection (1), and after obtaining from the recognized institution such other particulars as may be considered necessary, the Regional Committee shall,- (a) if it is satisfied that such recognized institution has adequate financial resources, accommodation, library, qualified staff, laboratory, and that it fulfils such other conditions required for proper conduct of the new course or training in teacher education, as may be determined by regulations or (b) if it is of the opinion that such institution does not fulfill the requirements laid down in sub-clause (a), pass an order refusing permission to such institution for reasons to be recorded in writing: Provided that before passing an order refusing permission under sub-class (b), the Regional Committee shall provide a reasonable opportunity to the institution concerned for making a written representation. Section 14 (3) (a) and Section 15 (3) (a) speaks about the infrastructure facilities, it states that all teaching training education institute must have adequate financial resources, accommodation, library, qualified staff and laboratory, they should also fulfilling other conditions as required by NCTE. In present appeal, Appellant Institute fulfills the entire requirement as per provisions of Section 14 (3) (a) and 15 (3) (a) since 2016, he had also published complete details about required infrastructure facilities on its official website i.e., www.mvgu.ac.in, but WRC without any show cause notice, without prior informing or sending any prior compliance notice describing any specific deficiency for compliance had passed non-speaking impugned order dated: 23.10.2024, which is totally illegal, arbitrary & discriminatory and also against the provisions at NCTE Act, 1993. PRAYER It is, therefore, most humbly and respectfully prayed that the Appeal may kindly be allowed and impugned order dated: 23.10.2024 may kindly be quashed and set-aside as ab-initio.

Any other appropriate relief which this Honble Appellate Authority deems just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the appeal may kindly be passed in favour of the appellant. Humble Appellant (Dr. Vinod Kumar Upadhayay) Principal Department of Education Maharaj Vinayak Global University, Dhand, Jaipur-Delhi National Highway No. 11-C, Amer, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302028."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held online on 15th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP course on 02.03.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the WRC vide order dt. 23.10.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 2nd Meeting, 2025 held on 19.02.2025. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution along with its appeal report has submitted a copy of Recognition Order dt. 04.07.2016 for B.A. B.Ed. (Integrated) course of four-year duration from the academic session 2016-2017 with an annual intake of 100 students. However, the WRC had refused the institution for transition from 4-years integrated programme to Integrated Teacher Education vide order dt. 23.10.2024. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein. The Appeal Committee also decided to seek clarification/documents from the WRC regarding status of the institution with reference to the copy of Recognition Order dt. 04.07.2016 for B.A. B.Ed. (Integrated) course with annual intake of 100 students from the academic session 2016-2017.

The instant matter placed in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held on 15.04.2025. The Appeal Committed noted that the institution vide letter dated 31.12.2024 submitted its reply. The Appeal Committee after perusing the documents and oral argument advanced during the hearing, the Committee noted that a recognition order vide order No. File No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-10617/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed./269th Meeting (Part-1)/2017 dt. 29.04.2017 was issued by the WRC, NCTE. The University of Rajasthan, Jaipur has granted the affiliation to the institution.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to WRC with a direction to revisit the matter and verify the authenticity of the recognition order from the records of WRC, Affiliating body, and if a recognition order has been issued by the WRC, NCTE to the Institution for running B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. programme then appropriate action shall be taken by the WRC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the WRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the WRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to WRC with a direction to revisit the matter and verify the authenticity of the recognition order from the records of WRC, Affiliating body, and if a recognition order has been issued by the WRC, NCTE to the Institution for running B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. programme then appropriate action shall be taken by the WRC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the WRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the WRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Department of Education, Maharaj Vinayak Global University, Plot No. 313, Harwar, NH11C, Amer, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302028.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Principal Secretary, Higher Education Department, C5MW+73J, Devmand Dhani Post, Borda Tehsil, Mitrapura, Madhapur, Rajasthan-322023.**



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 08.05.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-27/E-354993/2025 Appeal/4th Meeting, 2025
APPLERC202514890/E-86959

Donyi Polo B.Ed. College, CP/1/4179(pt), Bank Tinali, Itanagar, Opposite Head Post Office, Papumpara, Arunachal Pradesh-791111	<u>Vs</u>	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Dharmendra Rai, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, ERC
Date of Hearing	15.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	08.05.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF ORDER

The appeal of **Donyi Polo B.Ed. College, CP/1/4179(pt), Bank Tinali, Itanagar, Opposite Head Post Office, Papumpara, Arunachal Pradesh-791111** dated 22.01.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / ERC / 2526202402131279 / ARUNACHAL PRADESH / 2024 / REJC / 279** dated 24.01.2025 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that:- "The institution is not running any college in the field of liberal arts, humanities, social science, commerce or mathematics, therefore as per NCTE Regulations, 2014, the application of the institution does not fall in the category of Multi-Disciplinary Institution. Moreover, in the application form also, the institution has stated that it is not a Multi-Disciplinary Institution. Hence, the application of the institution cannot be considered for transition into ITEP as per amended regulations 2014 Gazetted on 26th October 2021 and Public Notice dated 05.02.2024 and 05.03.2024."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Dharmendra Rai, Principal of Donyi Polo B.Ed. College, CP/1/4179(pt), Bank Tinali, Itanagar, Opposite Head Post Office, Papumpara, Arunachal Pradesh-791111 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 15.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "With reference to your refusal/rejection order no. 2526202402261768 dated 10th December 2024, we would like to offer the following clarifications. Our institution has been offering Multi-Disciplinary Programs since 2015. The specific courses we provide are as follows: 1. B.Ed (2-year course) - Since 2015 2. B.A. (Arts-4YEARS) - Since 2017 3. B.A.B.Ed (4-year integrated course) - Since 2019 These programs integrate various disciplines, including English, Hindi, History, Education, Geography, and Political Science, which meets the criteria for being recognized as a Multi-Disciplinary Institution. Additionally, we have applied for the introduction of a Bachelor of Commerce (B.Com) program from the academic session 2025-26."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held online on 15th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP course on 03.03.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the ERC vide order dt. 24.01.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 2nd Meeting, 2025 held on 19.02.2025. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter placed in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held on 15.04.2025. The Appeal Committee noted that the institution vide letter dated 31.12.2024 submitted its reply. The Appeal Committee after perusing the documents and oral argument advanced during the hearing, the Committee noted that the appellant institution has been offering Multi-Disciplinary Programs since 2015.

In view of the above the Appeal Committee, after perusing the documents which were made available on records, noted that there is strength in the submission of the appellant institution and as such, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the institution is a multidisciplinary institution.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to ERC with a direction to verify the authenticity of the Multidisciplinary status of the institution from the Affiliating body and then appropriate action shall be taken by the ERC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the ERC the

documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the ERC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to ERC with a direction to verify the authenticity of the Multidisciplinary status of the institution from the Affiliating body and then appropriate action shall be taken by the ERC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the ERC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the ERC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Donyi Polo B.Ed. College, CP/1/4179(pt), Bank Tinali, Itanagar, Opposite Head Post Office, Papumpara, Arunachal Pradesh-791111.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, City-Itanagar, Pin-791111, State-Arunachal Pradesh.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 08.05.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-90/E-352262/2024 Appeal/4th Meeting, 2025
APPLERC202414847/ 686959

Denning College for Teachers Education, 872, Tezu Township, Near Phed Central Store, Lohit, Arunachal Pradesh-792001	<u>Vs</u>	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Shri Badang Tayang, Chairman
Respondent by	Regional Director, ERC
Date of Hearing	15.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	08.05.2025

आदेश / ORDER

I. GROUND OF ORDER

The appeal of Denning College for Teachers Education, 872, Tezu Township, Near Phed Central Store, Lohit, Arunachal Pradesh-792001 dated 10.12.2024 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / ERC /2526202402111217/ARUNACHAL PRADESH/2024/REJC/217** dated 21.11.2024 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that:- "The institution is not running any college in the field of Liberal Arts, Humanities, Social Science, Commerce or Mathematics, therefore as per NCTE Regulations, 2014, the application of the institution does not fall in the category of Multi-Disciplinary Institution. Moreover, in the application form also, the institution has stated that it is not a Multi-Disciplinary Institution. Hence, the application of the institution cannot be considered for transition into ITEP as per amended regulations 2014 Gazetted on 26th October 2021 and Public Notice dated 05.02.2024 and 05.03.2024."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Shri Badang Tayang, Chairman of Denning College for Teachers Education, 872, Tezu Township, Near Phed Central Store, Lohit, Arunachal Pradesh-792001 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 15.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The institution has all the required documents."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held online on 15th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP course on 05.03.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the ERC vide order dt. 21.11.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 2nd Meeting, 2025 held on 19.02.2025. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter placed in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held on 15.04.2025. The Appeal Committee noted that the institution vide letter dated 31.12.2024 submitted its reply. The Appeal Committee after perusing the documents and oral argument advanced during the hearing, the Committee noted that the institution has claimed that it is running multidisciplinary programme since 2017.

In view of the above the Appeal Committee, after perusing the documents which were made available on records, noted that there is strength in the submission of the appellant institution and as such, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the institution is a multidisciplinary institution.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to ERC with a direction to verify the authenticity of the Multidisciplinary status of the institution from the Affiliating body and then appropriate action shall be taken by the ERC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the ERC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the ERC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to ERC with a direction to verify the authenticity of the Multidisciplinary status of the institution from the Affiliating body and then appropriate action shall be taken by the ERC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the ERC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the ERC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Denning College for Teachers Education, 872, Tezu Township, Near Phed Central Store, Lohit, Arunachal Pradesh-792001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, City-Itanagar, Pin-791111, State-Arunachal Pradesh.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 08.05.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-4/E-353199/2025 Appeal/4th Meeting, 2025
APPLERC202414855 / E-86959

Salesian College (Autonomous), 104, 106, Don Bosco Complex, Siliguri, Sevoke Road, Rajganj, West Bengal-734001	<u>Vs</u>	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Ms. Vivechana Dewan, Head of Department of Education
Respondent by	Regional Director, ERC
Date of Hearing	15.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	08.05.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF ORDER

The appeal of **Salesian College (Autonomous), 104, 106, Don Bosco Complex, Siliguri, Sevoke Road, Rajganj, West Bengal-734001** dated 20.12.2024 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / ERC / 2526202405132805/WEST BENGAL/2024/REJC/1091** dated 26.10.2024 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that:- "The Final Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution vide dated 09.09.2024 with a direction to submit reply within 15 days, on the deficiencies, but the institution has not submitted its reply within specific time."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Ms. Vivechana Dewan, Head of Department of Education of Salesian College (Autonomous), 104, 106, Don Bosco Complex, Siliguri, Sevoke Road, Rajganj, West Bengal-734001 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 15.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "due to technical problems we could not upload the required pending documents within the stipulated time frame on the NCTE portal."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held online on 15th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP course on 18.05.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the ERC vide order dt. 26.10.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 2nd Meeting, 2025 held on 19.02.2025. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 4th Meeting held on 15.04.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee during online hearing noted that the Appellant Institution in addition to the submissions and explanation given in the appeal report, it has claimed that it is NAAC A grade institution which entitles it to secure 6 points, multi-disciplinary course running for more than 30 years i.e. 4 points.

In view of the above the Appeal Committee, after perusing the documents which were made available on records, noted that there is strength in the submission of the appellant institution and as such, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the institution successfully secured 10 points. Therefore, instant appeal deserves to be allowed after setting aside the order dated 26.10.2024 passed by ERC, NCTE.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to ERC, NCTE with a direction to revisit the documents submitted by the institution and take decision accordingly on the basis of scrutiny of the documents and recalculation of shortlisting criteria points and take further necessary action accordingly as per NCTE Act, Rules & Regulations as applicable.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case after setting aside the order dt. 26.10.2024 to ERC, NCTE with a direction to revisit the documents submitted by the institution and take decision accordingly on the basis of scrutiny of the documents and recalculation of shortlisting criteria points and take further necessary action accordingly as per NCTE Act, Rules & Regulations as applicable. The Appellant is directed to forward to the ERC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Salesian College (Autonomous), 104, 106, Don Bosco Complex, Siliguri, Sevoke Road, Rajganj, West Bengal-734001.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of West Bengal, Bikash Bhavan, Salt Lake City, (5th,6th,8th,10th Floor) Kolkata - 700091.**



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 08.05.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-40/E-355924/2025 Appeal/4th Meeting, 2025
APPLERC202414855/E-86159

Assam Don Bosco University, Patta No. 1 DAG No. 364, Kamarkuchi, Tapesia Gardens, Sonapur Revenue Ciercle, Kamrup, Assaam-782402	Vs	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Zacharias George, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, ERC
Date of Hearing	15.04.2025
Date of Pronouncement	08.05.2025

आदेश / ORDER

I. GROUND OF ORDER

The appeal of **Assam Don Bosco University, Patta No. 1 DAG No. 364, Kamarkuchi, Tapesia Gardens, Sonapur Revenue Ciercle, Kamrup, Assaam-782402** dated 30.01.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / ERC /2526202404252374/ASSAM/2024/REJC/733** dated 24.01.2025 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that:- "The institution has taken the land on lease from private owner not from Govt., which is not permitted as per NCTE Regulations."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Zacharias George, Principal of Assam Don Bosco University, Patta No. 1 DAG No. 364, Kamarkuchi, Tapesia Gardens, Sonapur Revenue Ciercle, Kamrup, Assaam-782402 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 15.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The land in question is registered and mutated in the name of Don Bosco Society Azara, the sponsoring body of Assam Don Bosco University. It is not taken from any private owner. It is owned by Don Bosco Society Azara. All the documents were submitted at the time of application."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held online on 15th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP course on 14.05.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the ERC vide order dt. 24.01.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 2nd Meeting, 2025 held on 19.02.2025. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 4th Meeting held on 15.04.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee during online hearing noted that the Appellant Institution in addition to the submissions and explanation given in the appeal report, it has claimed that the land in question is registered and mutated in the name of Don Bosco Society Azara, the sponsoring body of Assam Don Bosco University. It is not taken from any private owner. It is owned by Don Bosco Society Azara.

In view of the above the Appeal Committee, after perusing the documents which were made available on records, noted that there is strength in the submission of the appellant institution and land ownership documents as such, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the institution succeeded in submitting the requisite land ownership documents. Therefore, instant appeal deserves to be allowed after setting aside the order dated 24.01.2025 passed by ERC, NCTE.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to ERC, NCTE with a direction to revisit the documents submitted by the institution and take decision accordingly on the basis of scrutiny of the documents and recalculation of shortlisting criteria points and take further necessary action accordingly as per NCTE Act, Rules & Regulations as applicable.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case after setting aside the order dt. 24.01.2025 to ERC, NCTE with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal which are required to be sent to them by the appellant institution and take further

necessary action as per applicable Rules & Regulation of the NCTE issued from time to time. The Appellant is directed to forward to the ERC, the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Assam Don Bosco University, Patta No. 1 DAG No. 364, Kamarkuchi, Tapesia Gardens, Sonapur Revenue Ciercle, Kamrup, Assaam-782402.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Higher Education Department, Assam Secretariat, Block 'C', 3rd floor, Secretariat Rd, Dispur, Guwahati, Assam-781006.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 08.05.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-44/E-356786/2025 Appeal/4th Meeting, 2025
APPLERC202514871/ E-86959

Mudo Tamo Memorial College, Ziro, Lower Subansiri, Arunachal Pradesh-791120 APPELLANT	Vs	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075 RESPONDENT
Representative of Appellant	Mr. Tripul Halder, OSD cum Office Assistant	
Respondent by	Regional Director, ERC	
Date of Hearing	15.04.2025	
Date of Pronouncement	08.05.2025	

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF ORDER

The appeal of **Mudo Tamo Memorial College, Ziro, Lower Subansiri, Arunachal Pradesh-791120** dated 31.12.2024 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / ERC / 2526202403032008 / ARUNACHAL PRADESH / 2024 / REJC / 1958** dated 21.11.2024 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that:- "The institution is not running any college in the field of Liberal Arts, Humanities, Social Sciences, Commerce Or Mathematics, therefore as per NCTE Regulations, 2014, the application of the institution does not fall in the category of Multi-disciplinary institution. Moreover, in the application form also, the institution has stated that it is not a multi-Disciplinary institution. Hence, the application of the institution cannot be considered for transition into ITEP as per amended Regulations 2014 Gazetted on 26th October 2021 and Public Notice dated 05.02.2024 and 05.03.2024."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Tripul Haldar, OSD cum Office Assistant of Mudo Tamo Memorial College, Ziro, Lower Subansiri, Arunachal Pradesh-791120 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 15.04.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The institution is running B.A. programme since 2017 with approval from concerned university and since then it has passed out 4 batches of 3 yaers degree programme i.e. 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held online on 15th April, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking

permission for running the ITEP course on 05.03.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the ERC vide order dt. 21.11.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 2nd Meeting, 2025 held on 19.02.2025. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter placed in its 4th Meeting, 2025 held on 15.04.2025. The Appeal Committee noted that the institution vide letter dated 31.12.2024 submitted its reply. The Appeal Committee after perusing the documents and oral argument advanced during the hearing, the Committee noted that the institution is claiming that it is running B.A. programme since 2017 with approval from concerned university and since then it has passed out 4 batches of 3 years degree programme i.e. 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023.

In view of the above the Appeal Committee, after perusing the documents which were made available on records, noted that there is strength in the submission of the appellant institution and as such, the Appeal Committee is of the view that the institution is a multidisciplinary institution.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to ERC with a direction to verify the authenticity of the Multidisciplinary status of the institution from the Affiliating body and then appropriate action shall be taken by the ERC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the ERC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the ERC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to ERC with a direction to verify the authenticity of the Multidisciplinary status of the institution from the Affiliating body and then appropriate action shall be taken by the ERC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the ERC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the ERC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Mudo Tamo Memorial College, Ziro, Lower Subansiri, Arunachal Pradesh-791120.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, City-Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh-791111.